

Continuous 3d Freezing Transition in Layered Superconductors

Leon Balents¹ and Leo Radzihovsky²

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4030^{1,2}

Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309²

(February 1, 2008)

We use Ginzburg-Landau theory to study the H_{c2} transition in layered superconductors with field parallel to the layers, finding a continuous 3d freezing transition to a triangular vortex super-solid in the three-dimensional XY universality class. If screening effects are neglected, off-diagonal-long-range-order survives only for $d > d_{lc} = 5/2$. The partial breaking of the lowest Landau level degeneracy induced by layering leads to a *local* selection of a triangular lattice structure, in contrast to the *global* free energy minimization in, e.g. Abrikosov's calculation. Our results are relevant to artificially layered superconductors and to strongly anisotropic high T_c materials.

PACS: 64.60.Fr, 74.20.D

The development and application of the renormalization group in the 1970's and early 1980's greatly improved the understanding of phase transitions. Despite many dramatic successes resulting from this creative explosion, the normal to superconducting transition in finite field (NSFF), one of the earliest formulated examples of critical behavior, is still not understood.

Any description of the NSFF transition begins with the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) free energy

$$F[\psi, \vec{A}] = \int d^d \mathbf{r} \left[|(\nabla + i \frac{2\pi}{\phi_0} \mathbf{A}) \Psi|^2 + r_0 |\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_0 |\Psi|^4 + \frac{1}{8\pi\mu_0} |\nabla \times \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{H}|^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where $r_0 \sim (T - T_c)/T_c$, $\phi_0 = hc/2e$ is the flux quantum, μ_0 is magnetic permeability of the normal metal and is close to unity, and we have for simplicity ignored the technically unimportant effective mass anisotropy in the first term. Eq.1 respects several symmetries which are broken within mean-field theory in the mixed phase: translation and rotational invariance, as well as the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry corresponding to off-diagonal long-range-order (ODLRO) and the choice of phase of the pair wavefunction Ψ . Probably the most consistent definition of a 'gauge-invariant phase' was suggested by Moore [1], and is completely specified by the positions of *vortices*, or zeros of Ψ . A hidden third symmetry was introduced in Ref. [2] as a (different!) $U(1)$ phase invariance of the dual "boson" (dis-)order parameter ψ . The expectation value $\langle \psi \rangle \neq 0$ in the *normal* phase.

The mean-field description of the NSFF transition was discovered by Abrikosov [4]. For fields smaller than $H = H_{c2}(T)$, both $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ and B become periodic, with an amplitude (of Ψ and of the periodic modulation of B) increasing continuously from zero as H or T is reduced. While a consistent (i.e. non-mean field) theory of the phase transition is still lacking (but see below), fluctuation effects are well understood within the lattice phase. As discussed in Ref. [1], phonon fluctuations of the

vortex lattice destroy ODLRO for $d < 4$. However, the mixed phase can persist with broken translational (and rotational) invariance. In principle, two types of lattice phases can exist: the ordinary solid, with $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, and the supersolid, with $\langle \psi \rangle \neq 0$ [2,3].

The fluctuation corrected critical behavior has been studied in two ways. The more phenomenological approach attempts to construct a theory in terms of vortices, the low energy degrees of freedom of the mixed phase. In this picture, the NS transition is accomplished by a first order freezing of vortex lines from a liquid (the normal phase) into a solid (the vortex lattice) [5,6]. Somewhat more microscopic treatments begin with the Ginzburg-Landau theory and attempt to capture fluctuation effects near the transition directly in terms of Ψ . As first pointed out in Ref. [7], the infinite degeneracy of the lowest Landau level (LLL) requires a *functional* renormalization group treatment of the critical behavior in such models in high dimensions [8,9]. Unfortunately, the full connection between this approach and the more physical picture of vortex lattice melting remains unclear.

In this letter, we consider the case of a *layered* superconductor with magnetic field oriented parallel to the layers. Because of the partial breaking of LLL degeneracy imposed by this intrinsic periodicity, we are able to carry the microscopic treatment much further than in previous fluctuation studies. This allows a direct connection to be made between the Ginzburg-Landau and vortex theories. It also provides an analytic understanding of the selection of a triangular vortex lattice: in all the previous studies to date the breaking of degeneracy and ordering into a particular lattice has only been captured by an explicit *numerical* comparison of free energies.

Our most important result is the demonstration of a *continuous* mechanism for three dimensional vortex freezing in layered superconductors in parallel fields.

The effect of the CuO_2 layers (taken normal to the y axis) can be modeled using Eq.1 and a spatially modulated local temperature $r_0 = t_0 - \alpha \cos(2\pi y/d)$, where

d is the layer spacing. For simplicity we first ignore screening (or equivalently consider length scales below the London penetration length λ). With the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = B\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ along z-axis (or more generally transverse to the xy plane, in $d = d_\perp + 2$ -dimensions), it is convenient to work in the Landau gauge with $\mathbf{A} = By\hat{\mathbf{x}}$. The quadratic part of the free energy is simplified by expanding ψ in the eigenfunctions of the corresponding equation of motion. In this gauge, the eigenmodes are of the form $\Psi = e^{ik_x x + i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{r}_\perp} \phi$, with

$$\left[-\partial_y^2 + (y - k_x \ell^2)^2 / \ell^4 + r_o - \alpha \cos(2\pi y/d) \right] \phi(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, y) = E(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \phi(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, y), \quad (2)$$

$E(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = E(k_x) + k_\perp^2$ and $\ell = \sqrt{\phi_0/2\pi B}$. For $\alpha = 0$ the ‘‘Hamiltonian’’ is diagonalized by Landau level (LL) eigenfunctions, with the usual LL degeneracy of E with respect to k_x . The effect of the periodic potential is to break the continuous translational symmetry along y down to a discrete group, which implies $E(k_x + k_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = E(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$, where $k_n = dn/\ell^2$ and n is an arbitrary integer. The original degeneracy $N_\phi = L_x L_y / 2\pi \ell^2$ is thereby reduced to $N_d = L_y/d$. Each LL is broadened into periodic bands with width proportional to α . For our further approximations to hold, we consider a strong field limit which consists of a number of constraints. First, we take $\hbar 2eB/Mc \gg \tilde{\alpha}$ (see below), which implies that LLs remain narrow on the scale of their separation, and allows a clear separation of LLL physics. Secondly, we require the periodic potential to vary smoothly on the scale of magnetic length, i.e. $\alpha \ll d^2/\ell^4$. In this limit, the functions $\phi(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, y)$ are Gaussian,

$$\Psi = \int_{k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp} \phi(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) e^{ik_x x + i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{r}_\perp - (y - k_x \ell^2)^2 / 2\ell^2}, \quad (3)$$

where $\int_{k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp} \equiv \int dk_x d^{d_\perp} \mathbf{k}_\perp / (2\pi)^{(d_\perp+1)}$. In the strong field limit the only effect of the periodic potential is to introduce periodic k_x dispersion, corresponding to the energy cost of moving the center of the Gaussian along the cosine potential. The quadratic part of the free energy becomes

$$F_0 = \int_{k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp} E(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) |\phi(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp)|^2, \quad (4)$$

where $E(k_x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = k_\perp^2 + t_0 + \tilde{\alpha} \cos(2\pi \ell^2 k_x/d)$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha e^{-(\pi \ell/d)^2}$. It is convenient to work in the reduced zone scheme where $k_x = k + dn/\ell^2$, and define new fields $\phi_n(k, \vec{k}_\perp) \equiv \phi(k + k_n, \vec{k}_\perp)$. The nonintegral part $k \ll d/\ell^2$ describes small deviations from the local minimum of the cosine potential. Rewriting F_0 in terms of the ϕ_n yields a $d_\perp+1$ -dimensional N_d -component field theory,

$$F_0 = \sum_n \int_{k, \vec{k}_\perp} (k_\perp^2 + \gamma k^2 + \tau) |\phi_n(k, \vec{k}_\perp)|^2, \quad (5)$$

where $\gamma = (\tilde{\alpha}/2)(2\pi \ell^2/d)^2$ and $\tau = t_0 + \ell^{-2} - \tilde{\alpha}$. The rotational invariance in the N_d -dimensional n -isospin space of F_0 is of course broken by the full GL free energy, to which we now turn.

The quartic term is readily re-expressed in terms of the $\phi_n(k, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ fields using Eq.3. The result is

$$F_{\text{int}} = g \sum_{n_1 \dots n_4} \int_{k_1 \dots k_4} \phi_{n_1}^*(\mathbf{k}_1) \phi_{n_2}(\mathbf{k}_2) \phi_{n_3}^*(\mathbf{k}_3) \phi_{n_4}(\mathbf{k}_4) \times V[\{n_i\}, \{\mathbf{k}_i\}] (2\pi)^{(d-1)} \delta^{(d-1)}(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4), \quad (6)$$

where

$$V = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \ell^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{-(d/\ell)^2 [k^2 + l^2]/4} \delta_{n_1 - n_2, n_4 - n_3}. \quad (7)$$

Here $n_1 = n/2 + k/2$, $n_2 = n/2 + l/2$, $n_3 = n/2 - k/2$, and $n_4 = n/2 - l/2$, and we neglected small $O(k)$ corrections in the exponential in Eq.7.

Infrared divergences emerging below $d_{uc} = 5$ can be studied using renormalization group (RG) methods in $d = 5 - \epsilon$ dimensions. We use the momentum shell method, in which short wavelength modes in a shell $\Lambda/b < p < \Lambda$ are progressively integrated out to yield a renormalized free energy. The RG is best formulated in terms of the coupling matrix $u_{k,l} = V[n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4]$. The matrix $u_{k,l}$ vanishes when k, l are not simultaneously odd or even. The discrete translational invariance along y enforces the independence of $u_{k,l}$ on n .

We perform an infinitesimal RG transformation with $b = e^{dt}$, and rescale $r \rightarrow br$, $\phi_n \rightarrow b^{(3-d)/2} \phi_n$ to obtain

$$\partial_t u_{k,l} = \epsilon u_{k,l} - 2m u_{k,s} u_{s,l} - 8u_{s,s-k+l} u_{s-3k/2+l/2, s-k/2-l/2} \quad (8)$$

in the thermodynamic ($N_d \rightarrow \infty$) limit. For later purposes (see below) have considered an extended $U(1) \times O(m)$ theory with the generalized interaction $f_{\text{int}} \propto \phi_{n1}^{*i}(\mathbf{k}_1) \phi_{n2}^j(\mathbf{k}_2) \phi_{n3}^{*i}(\mathbf{k}_3) \phi_{n4}^j(\mathbf{k}_4)$, with $i, j = 1, \dots, m$.

We have succeeded in finding only one exact (but unstable) solution to the Eq.8 with $u_{kl}^* = \epsilon/(2m+8) \delta_{k,0} \delta_{k,l}$, corresponding to simultaneous but independent XY transitions within each layer. For $m \rightarrow \infty$, the second nonlinearity of Eq.8 becomes negligible and the resulting matrix equation is easily solved. The large m fixed point solutions are then any matrix with an arbitrary combination of 0 and $\epsilon/2m$ eigenvalues. The most stable solution is proportional to $\delta_{k,l}$, corresponding to an arbitrarily long-range interaction $\propto \sum_{n,k} \phi_n^{*i} \phi_n^j \phi_{n+k}^{*i} \phi_{n+k}^j$. However, the form of the initial condition for $u_{k,l}^0 = u_k u_l$, Eq.7, dictated by the LLL physics, insures that the physical fixed point solution is of the same short range form, but with a single nonzero eigenvalue flowing to $\epsilon/2m$. At this fixed point standard methods give the correlation length exponent $\nu = 1/(2 - \epsilon/m)$, which diverges (for

$m = 1$) in $d = 3$, *naively* suggesting 3 as the lower critical dimension (d_{lc}). Although this result is in accord with dimensional reduction arguments of $d \rightarrow d_{\perp} = d - 1$, we will show below that a more careful analysis leads to $d_{lc} = 2.5$ for the unscreened case, invalidating dimensional reduction. The breakdown of dimensional reduction may also be important for the NSFF transition in an isotropic superconductor. Unfortunately, the $1/m$ corrections to the $m \rightarrow \infty$ fixed points appear to be singular, and we have not succeeded in expanding toward $m = 1$.

Although we have not been able to solve above RG equations, if the quartic interaction remains short-ranged (as is physically plausible from the existence of the magnetic length scale l), much insight into this freezing transition can be gained from the mft and from studying the fluctuations in the low T lattice phase. The short-range form of $V[\{n_i\}, \{\mathbf{k}_i\}]$ provides the key simplification relative to the problem without the layered potential.

Eq.6 contains interactions between all of the $\{\phi_n\}$ at all momenta. We expect the minimal free energy to occur for $k = 0$, i.e. uniform ϕ_n ; higher order terms in a gradient expansion are also less relevant in the RG sense. Because increasing $n_1 - n_3$ and $n_2 - n_4$ results in exponential suppression, it is sufficient to keep only the largest few terms in the interaction free energy

$$F_{\text{int}} = \int d^{d-1} \mathbf{r} \sum_n \left\{ \frac{u}{2} |\phi_n|^4 + \frac{w}{2} |\phi_n|^2 |\phi_{n+1}|^2 + \frac{v}{2} (\phi_{n+1}^* \phi_{n-1}^* \phi_n^2 + \text{c.c.}) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where $u = 2g/\sqrt{2\pi\ell^2}$, $w = 4g/\sqrt{2\pi\ell^2}e^{-d^2/2\ell^2}$ and $v = 4g/\sqrt{2\pi\ell^2}e^{-d^2/\ell^2}$. Since $w, v \ll u$, there will be a broad crossover regime described by Eq.9 with $w = v = 0$. Specializing to $d = d_{\perp} + 2 = 3$, Eqs.9 and 5 indicate that in this limit the individual ϕ_n are decoupled and comprise *two-dimensional* XY order parameters in each layer. These develop significant amplitude below the mean-field transition at $\tau = 0$, where the system shows the usual broad specific heat maximum associated with the 2d XY model. Physically, the large field limit leads to a strong variation of the phase of ψ , destroying the Josephson coupling between neighboring superconducting layers.

To study the behavior for $\tau < 0$, we ignore (massive) amplitude fluctuations and let $\phi_n = \sqrt{|\tau|/u}e^{i\tilde{\theta}_n}$. Up to a constant, the free energy becomes

$$F = \sum_n \int dx dy \left\{ K_x (\partial_x \tilde{\theta}_n)^2 + K_z (\partial_z \tilde{\theta}_n)^2 + \tilde{v} \cos(\tilde{\theta}_{n+1} + \tilde{\theta}_{n-1} - 2\tilde{\theta}_n) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where $K_x = \gamma K_z = \gamma|\tau|/u$ and $\tilde{v} = \tau^2 v/u^2$. For $\tilde{v} = 0$, the system undergoes simultaneous Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) transitions within each layer (each n) to

decoupled quasi-long-range-ordered (QLRO) states for $K = \sqrt{K_x K_y} > K_{KT} \approx 4/\pi$. Correlation between the phases in the layers is established for $\tilde{v} > 0$. A simple calculation using standard methods for the 2d sine-Gordon model demonstrates that $\tilde{v}$ is indeed a *relevant* perturbation for any $K > K^* = 3/(4\pi) < K_{KT}$, and therefore preempts the KT transition [12]. Instead, a true three-dimensional transition occurs at a critical stiffness $K_c \lesssim K_{KT}$ (K_c in fact approaches K_{KT} as $\tilde{v} \rightarrow 0$).

For $K > K_c$, the ordered state is determined by the minimization of Eq.10. Because $\tilde{v} > 0$, the argument of the cosine should be an odd multiple of π , and a convenient choice of symmetry breaking is $\tilde{\theta}_{n,0} = 0, 0, \pi, \pi, 0, 0, \dots$ on successive layers. Examination of the zeros of $|\Psi|^2$, Eq.3 shows that this low energy state is a triangular vortex lattice with lattice vectors $2\pi\ell^2\hat{\mathbf{x}}/d$ and $\pi\ell^2\hat{\mathbf{x}}/d + d\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ [13]. The simple determination of the lattice structure from the local $\tilde{v}$ phase interaction strongly contrasts with the situation in the conventional unlayered GL theory, in which the choice of lattice relies upon a complex non-local minimization in the full LLL basis.

Fluctuations within the ordered phase are described by the phase shifts $\theta_n \equiv \tilde{\theta}_n - \tilde{\theta}_{n,0}$, which are governed by Eq.10 with the sign of $\tilde{v}$ changed. To study the long-wavelength properties of these phase variations, the cosine may be replaced by a mass, leading to

$$F_{\text{eff}} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \left\{ \kappa_x (\partial_x \theta)^2 + \kappa_z (\partial_z \theta)^2 + \kappa_y (\partial_y^2 \theta)^2 \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where $\kappa_x = K_x/d$, $\kappa_z = K_z/d$, $\kappa_y = 2m^2 d$, and the thermally renormalized mass $m^2 = \tilde{v}^{8\pi K/(8\pi K - 6)}$. A straightforward calculation shows that the phase fluctuations $\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle$ are finite in three dimensions, with $d_{lc} = 2.5$, showing that GL theory (without screening) displays true ODLRO, in contrast to the naive dimensional reduction conclusion of $d_{lc} = 3$. This free energy occurs in the study of liquid crystals [14] and is known as $m=1$, XY Lifshitz model ($m=1$, for one soft k^4 component). The model can be rewritten as the zero field gauge theory

$$F_{\text{eff}} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \left\{ \kappa_{\perp} |\nabla_{\perp} \theta - \tilde{a} \mathbf{y}|^2 + \kappa_y |\nabla \tilde{a}|^2 \right\}, \quad (12)$$

where $\tilde{a} \mathbf{y}$ is a “fake” gauge field with only a y-component and we have rescaled x and z coordinates. Ref. [14] concludes that the approach to high temperatures can proceed in two stages, via an intermediate “orientationally ordered” state. This phase lacks ODLRO, but retains order in $\partial_y \theta \sim u$, and hence retains broken translational invariance. It is also known [14] that the free energy, Eq.12, is self-dual, which implies that the intermediate state has long-range correlations in the disorder parameter ψ – i.e. it is a super-solid. We see no a priori reason why such a topologically ordered phase may not survive below d_{lc} , e.g. in two dimensions.

Without screening, the solid to super-solid transition is therefore in the 3d, $m = 1$, XY Lifshitz universality

class. By analogy with the 3d normal-to-superconducting zero-field transition in the strongly type II limit [15], we expect a *continuous* transition.

What is the universality class of the normal to super-solid transition? As described in Appendix B of Ref. [16], the only broken symmetry *associated with the transition* is translations along the x axis. A Landau formulation in terms of the complex order parameter $\rho_{\mathbf{x}} = \langle \Psi^\dagger \Psi e^{id\mathbf{x}/\ell^2} \rangle$ implies the critical behavior is of the 3d XY type (c.f. also Ref. [14]). The phase of $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}$ is proportional to the phonon coordinate u . Note that electromagnetic screening does not play an important role here, because long-range interactions are screened anyway by the fluid of vacancies/interstitials already present in the super-solid.

The above considerations apply when magnetic variations may be neglected. Gauge field fluctuations can be included (in the ordered phase) simply by the minimal coupling prescription $\partial_\mu \theta \rightarrow \partial_\mu \theta - a_\mu$, where $\mathbf{a} = 2\pi(\mathbf{A} - B\mathbf{y}\hat{\mathbf{x}})/\phi_0$ and $\phi_0 = hc/2e$ is the superconducting flux quantum. The gauge-invariant free energy is thus

$$F_{\text{gauge}} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left\{ \kappa_x |\partial_x \theta - a_x|^2 + \kappa_z |\partial_z \theta - a_z|^2 + \kappa_y |\partial_y (\partial_y \theta - a_y)|^2 + \frac{\phi_0^2}{32\pi^3} |\nabla \times \mathbf{a}|^2 \right\}. \quad (13)$$

Note that, despite its unusual form, the κ_y term is invariant both under gauge transformations and the global shift (c.f. Eq.11) $\theta \rightarrow \theta + \lambda y$. Eq.13 also encodes a *transverse Meissner effect*: minimizing F_{gauge} with respect to θ for $\mathbf{a} = B_y z \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ gives a divergent free energy density for an additional field along the y axis. Perturbing fields along x and z are not screened.

We now choose a gauge with $\theta = 0$ to determine the true low-energy structure of the theory. The result is a modification of the usual Anderson-Higgs mechanism which occurs in the Meissner phase: the a_x, a_z components of the gauge field become massive, but a_y continues to describe a gapless mode. This remarkable mechanism, allowed by the unusual κ_y term in Eq.13, has a direct physical interpretation. Once gauge fluctuations are included, only the zeros of Ψ are gauge-invariant objects, and it is their displacements $\mathbf{u}$ which are the physical degrees of freedom. Because of the potential in the y direction, only $u_x \equiv u$ is gapless. It is straightforward to derive the relation $u = -\ell^2(\partial_y \theta - a_y) \rightarrow \ell^2 a_y$ in the $\theta = 0$ gauge. Integrating out the massive a_x and a_z fields then gives the elastic free energy

$$F_{\text{el.}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left\{ c_\perp |\nabla_\perp u|^2 + c_y |\partial_y u|^2 - c_4 [\kappa_x^{-1} |\partial_x \partial_y u|^2 + \kappa_z^{-1} |\partial_z \partial_y u|^2] \right\}, \quad (14)$$

where $c_\perp = \phi_0^2/(32\pi^3\ell^4)$, $c_y = 2\kappa_y/\ell^4$, and $\nabla_\perp = (\partial_x, \partial_z)$. The higher order elastic correction $c_4 =$

$\phi_0^4/(512\pi^6\ell^4)$ may be important experimentally due to the extremely small value of c_y in the strongly layered limit. We observe that with screening the vortex lattice survives down to two dimensions.

Finally, we note that in an isotropic system the low-energy degrees of freedom are also a discrete subset k_n of the LLL modes. Even without layering, rotational invariance in the plane perpendicular to field and the LLL physics unambiguously determine $k_n = n\sqrt{2\pi}/l$. One may speculate that fluctuations within such a discrete set of modes could support a continuous freezing mechanism within the Ginzburg-Landau theory of an isotropic superconductor as well.

We thank Mathew Fisher and John Toner for discussions. LR acknowledges the support by NSF DMR94-16926, through the Science and Technology Center for Superconductivity. We are grateful to The Institute for Theoretical Physics at UCSB, where part of this work was done, for their support under NSF PHY94-07194.

-
- [1] M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 7336 (1992).
 - [2] M. P. A. Fisher and D.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 2756 (1989).
 - [3] E. Frey, D.R. Nelson, and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 9723 (1994).
 - [4] A. A. Abrikosov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz **32**, 1442 (1957) [Sov. Phys. - JETP **5**, 1174 (1957)].
 - [5] D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1415 (1988); D. R. Nelson and S. Seung, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 9153 (1989).
 - [6] Z. Tesanovic and L. Xing, Phys. Rev. Lett., **67**, 2729 (1991).
 - [7] E. Brezin, D. R. Nelson and A. Thiaville, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 7124 (1985).
 - [8] L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett., **74**, 4722 (1995).
 - [9] T. Newman and M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett., **75**, 533 (1995).
 - [10] H. Safar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 824 (1992).
 - [11] H. Safar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3800 (1993).
 - [12] J. V. Jos , J. P. Kadanoff, S. Kirkpatrick, and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B **16**, 1217 (1977).
 - [13] More generally $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1} + \tilde{\theta}_{n-1} - 2\tilde{\theta}_n = 2\pi x_0$, solved (up to a constant) by $\tilde{\theta} = \pi x_0 n(n+a)$, with a arbitrary. For $x_0 = 1/2$ and $a = 1$ we obtain the solution in the text, while $a = 0$ gives the standard Jacobi Theta function representation of the vortex lattice spanned by the lattice vectors $2\pi\ell^2\hat{\mathbf{x}}/d$ and $x_0\pi\ell^2\hat{\mathbf{x}}/d + d\hat{\mathbf{y}}$.
 - [14] G. Grinstein, T. C. Lubensky, and J. Toner, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 3306 (1986).
 - [15] C. Dasgupta and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1556 (1981); L. Radzihovsky, Euro. Phys. Lett. **29**, 227 (1995).
 - [16] L. Balents and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B, in press (1995).